arXiv:math/9904079v1 [math.RT] 16 Apr 1999

AN ANALOG OF THE CLASSICAL INVARIANT THEORY FOR LIE SUPERALGEBRAS. II

ALEXANDER SERGEEV

Abstract. Let V be a finite-dimensional superspace over $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ a simple (or a "close" to simple) matrix Lie superalgebra, i.e., a Lie subsuperalgebra in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Under the *classical invariant theory* for $\mathfrak{g}$ we mean the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements of the algebra

$$\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l} = S^{\bullet}(V^k \oplus \Pi(V)^l \oplus V^{*p} \oplus \Pi(V)^{*q}),$$

where V^p denotes $V \oplus \cdots \oplus V$ (p summands). We give such description for $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$, $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ as well as $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ and their "odd" analogs: $\mathfrak{q}(V)$, $\mathfrak{sq}(V)$; $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$.

In [S2] the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements in $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$ was given up to polarization operators, i.e., the elements of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(U \otimes W))$ which naturally act on $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$ presented in the form $S^{\bullet}(U \otimes V \bigoplus V^* \otimes W)$. Here we give a complete description of the generators in the algebra of invariants and describe the relations between the invariants of the scalar product type.

This paper is a detailed exposition of [S3] with several new results added. It also complements and refines the results of [S2].

§1. Preliminaries

In what follows $\mathfrak{S}_k$ stands for the symmetric group on k elements. Let λ be a partition of the number k and t a λ-tableau. Recall that t is called *standard* if the numbers in its rows and columns grow from left to right and downwards. Denote by C_t the column stabilizer of t, ler R_t be its row stabilizer. We further set

$$e_t = \sum_{\tau \in C_t;\ \sigma \in R_t} \varepsilon(\tau)\sigma\tau, \quad \tilde{e}_t = \sum_{\tau \in C_t;\ \sigma \in R_t} \varepsilon(\tau)\tau\sigma. \tag{0.1}$$

Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of positive integers, $\bar{\mathbb{N}}$, another , "odd", copy of $\mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{N} \coprod \bar{\mathbb{N}}$ be ordered so that each element of the "even" copy, $\mathbb{N}$, is smaller than any element form the "odd" copy, while inside of each copy the order is the natural one. We will call the elemnts from $\mathbb{N}$ "even" and those form $\bar{\mathbb{N}}$ "odd" ones; so we can encounter an "even" odd element, etc.

Let I be the sequence of elements from $\mathbb{M}$ of length k. Let us fill in the tableau t with elements from I replacing element α with i_α. The sequence I is called *t-semistandard* if the elements of t do not decrease from left to right and downwards; the "even" elements strictly increase along columns; the "odd" elements strictly increase along rows.

The group $\mathfrak{S}_k$ naturally acts on sequences I. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the free supercommutative superalgebra with unit generated by $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$. For any $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ define $c(I, \sigma) = \pm 1$ from the equation

$$c(I,\sigma)x_I = x_{\sigma^{-1}I} \text{ where } x_I = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}. \tag{0.2}$$

Clearly, $c(I, \sigma)$ is a cocycle, i.e.,

$$c(I, \sigma\tau) = c(\sigma^{-1}I, \tau)c(I, \sigma).$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 17A70 (Primary) 13A50 (Secondary).

Key words and phrases. Invariant theory, Lie superalgebras.

I am thankful to D. Leites for support and help.

With the help of this cocycle a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_k$ in $T^k(V) = V^{\otimes k}$ for any superspace V is defined:

$$\sigma v_I = c(I, \sigma^{-1}) v_{\sigma I}, \text{ where } v_I = v_{i_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_k} \text{ and } v_{i_\alpha} \in V \text{ for each } \alpha. \tag{0.3}$$

Let $\{v_1, \dots, v_n; v_{\bar{1}}, \dots, v_{\bar{m}}\}$ be a basis of V in the standard format (the even elements come first followed by the odd ones). Then the elements v_I for all possible sequences I of length k and with elements from

$$R_V = \{1, \dots, n; \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{m}\} \tag{0.4}$$

form a basis of $T^k(V)$.

The following theorem describes the decomposition of $T^k(V)$ into irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_k \times \mathfrak{gl}(V)$-modules.

1.1. Theorem . (Cf. [S1].) *The commutant of the natural $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-action on $T^k(V)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{S}_k]$ and*

$$T^k(V) = \bigoplus_{\lambda : \lambda_{n+1} \leq m} S^\lambda \otimes V^\lambda,$$

where S^λ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{S}_k$-module and V^λ is an irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-module.

The following refinement of Theorem 1.1 holds:

1.2. Theorem . *If t runs over the standard tableaux of type λ and I runs over semistandard t-sequences, then the family $\{e_t(v_I)\}$ (resp. $\{\tilde{e}_t(v_I)\}$) is a basis in $S^\lambda \otimes V^\lambda$. Moreover, for a fixed t the families $\{e_t(v_I)\}$ and $\{\tilde{e}_t(v_I)\}$ span V^λ.*

Proof follows from results of [S1]. □

Let U and W be two superspaces with bases u_i and w_j, where $i \in R_U$, $j \in R_W$, respectively, and where

$$R_U = \{1, \dots, k; \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{l}\} \text{ and } R_W = \{1, \dots, p; \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{q}\}.$$

The symmetric algebra $S^\bullet(U \otimes W)$ is generated by $z_{ij} = u_i \otimes w_j$ for $i \in R_U$ and $j \in R_W$. Let I be a sequence of length N with elements from R_U and J a sequence of the same length with elements form R_W. Let $p(i_\alpha)$ and $p(j_\beta)$be the parities of the corresponding elements of the sequence. Set $\alpha(I, J) = \sum_{\alpha > \beta} p(i_\alpha) p(j_\beta)$ and define an element of $S^\bullet(U \otimes W)$ by setting

$$Z(I, J) = (-1)^{\alpha(I,J)} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} Z_{i_\alpha j_\beta}. \tag{1.1}$$

For a given tableau t of order N we define polynomials

$$P_t(I, J) = \sum_{\sigma \in R_t, \tau \in C_t} \varepsilon(\tau) c(I, (\sigma\tau)^{-1}) Z(\sigma\tau I, J),$$
$$\tilde{P}_t(I, J) = \sum_{\sigma \in R_t, \tau \in C_t} \varepsilon(\tau) c(I, (\tau\sigma)^{-1}) Z(\tau\sigma I, J).$$

The Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ naturally act on $S^\bullet(U \otimes W)$ and their actions commute.

1.3. Theorem . *$S^\bullet(U \otimes W) = \bigoplus_\lambda U^\lambda \otimes W^\lambda$, where U^λ and W^λ are irreducible $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$- and $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$-modules, respectively, corresponding to the partition λ and the sum runs over partitions such that $\lambda_{\alpha+1} \leq \beta$ for $\alpha = \min(k, p)$ and $\beta = \min(l, q)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.1

$$W^{\otimes N} = \oplus\, W^{\lambda} \otimes S^{\lambda} \text{ and } U^{\otimes N} = \oplus\, U^{\mu} \otimes S^{\mu}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &S^N(U \otimes W) = ((U \otimes W)^{\otimes N})^{\mathfrak{S}_N} = (U^{\otimes N} \otimes W^{\otimes N})^{\mathfrak{S}_N} = \\ &\underset{\lambda,\mu}{\oplus}(U^{\lambda} \otimes W^{\mu} \otimes S^{\lambda} \otimes S^{\mu})^{\mathfrak{S}_N} = \underset{\lambda,\mu}{\oplus}(U^{\lambda} \otimes W^{\mu}) \otimes (S^{\lambda} \otimes S^{\mu})^{\mathfrak{S}_N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(S^{\lambda})^* \simeq S^{\lambda}$ and since S^{λ} and S^{μ} are irreducible, we have

$$(S^{\lambda} \otimes S^{\mu})^{\mathfrak{S}_N} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{S}_N}(S^{\lambda}, S^{\mu}) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda \neq \mu \text{ and } \mathbb{C} \text{ otherwise.}$$

Theorem is proved. □

1.4. Theorem . *Let t be a standard tableau of type λ and let I and J be t-semistandard sequences. Then the family $P_t(I,J)$, as well as the similar family $\tilde{P}_t(I,J)$, forms a basis in the module $U^{\lambda} \otimes W^{\lambda}$.*

Proof. The natural homomorphism

$$\phi_N : U^{\otimes N} \otimes W^{\otimes N} \longrightarrow S^N(U \otimes W)$$

is, clearly, a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$-modules. It is not difficult to verify that

$$\phi_N(e_t(v_I) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(w_J)) = c \cdot P_t(I,J) \text{ for a constant } c.$$

Let t be a fixed λ-tableau, I and J two t-semistandard sequences with elements from R_U and R_W, respectively. Then by Theorem 1.2 the vectors $e_t(v_I) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(w_J)$ form a basis of a subspace $L \subset U^{\otimes N} \otimes W^{\otimes N}$ which is also a $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$-submodule. By the same theorem, $L \simeq U^{\lambda} \otimes W^{\lambda}$ and it remains to show that $\phi_N(L) \neq 0$. For this it suffices to show that there exists an $l \in L$ such that $\phi(l) \neq 0$. Since $\phi_N(\sigma v_i \otimes \sigma w_J) = \phi_N(v_I \otimes w_J)$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi_N(e_t(v_I) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(w_J)) = c\phi_N(e_t(v_I) \otimes w_J) = \\ &c\phi_N(\sigma e_t(v_I) \otimes \sigma w_J) = c\phi_N(e_{\sigma t}(\sigma v_I) \otimes \sigma w_J) = \\ &\pm c\phi_N(e_{\sigma t}(v_{\sigma I}) \otimes w_{\sigma J}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may assume that the tableau t is consequtively filled in along the rows with the numbers 1, 2, etc. Observe that the sequences σI and σJ remain σt-semistandard.

Let $I = J$ be the sequence

$$\underbrace{1 \dots 1}_{\lambda_1}\underbrace{2 \dots 2}_{\lambda_2} \dots \underbrace{\alpha \dots \alpha}_{\lambda_\alpha}\bar{1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha+1}\bar{1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_{\alpha+2} \dots \bar{1} \dots \bar{\lambda}_{\gamma},$$

where $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_\alpha, \dots, \lambda_\gamma)$ is the partition corresponding to t, $\alpha = \min(\dim\ U_{\bar{0}}, \dim\ W_{\bar{0}})$, $\beta = \min(\dim\ U_{\bar{1}}, \dim\ W_{\bar{1}})$.

It is not difficult to verify that $\phi_N(e_t(v_I) \otimes w_I) \neq 0$.

Since ϕ_N is a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$-modules, its restriction onto L is an isomorphism. This implies the statement of Theorem for the family $P_t(I,J)$. For the family $\tilde{P}_t(I,J)$ proof is similar. □

Let us elucidate how the results obtained can be applied to the invariant theory.

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a Lie superalgebra. Under "the invariant theory of $\mathfrak{g}$" we understand the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariants in the superalgebra $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l} = S(U \otimes V\ \oplus\ V^* \otimes W)$.

On $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$, the Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(U)$ and $\mathfrak{gl}(W)$ naturally act. By Theorem 1.3 we have

$$\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l} = \underset{\lambda,\mu}{\oplus}\ U^{\lambda} \otimes V^{\lambda} \otimes V^{*\mu} \otimes W^{\mu}.$$

Therefore, to describe $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant elements, it suffices to describe the $\mathfrak{g}$-invariants in $V^\lambda \otimes V^{*\mu} = \mathrm{Hom}(V^\mu, V^\lambda)$. But $(V^\lambda \otimes V^{*\mu})^{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(V^\mu, V^\lambda)$, i.e., the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-invariants is equivalent to the description of $\mathfrak{g}$-homomorphisms of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules V^μ.

Let us consider how the method works in the simplest example: $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Let $\{e_i : i \in R_V\}$ be a basis of V in a standard format; $\{e_i^*\}$ the left dual basis. Set

$$\theta = \sum_{i\in T} e_i \otimes e_i^*, \quad \hat\theta = \sum_{i\in T} (-1)^{p(i)} e_i^* \otimes e_i.$$

It is not difficult to verify that θ and $\hat\theta$ are $\mathfrak{g}$-invariants.

Set

$$T^{p,q}(V) = V^{\otimes p} \otimes V^{*\otimes q}, \quad \hat T^{p,q}(V) = V^{*\otimes p} \otimes V^{\otimes q}.$$

On $T^{p,q}(V)$ and $\hat T^{p,q}(V)$, the group $\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ acts and its action commutes with that fo $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. Hence, $\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_q$ also acts on the space of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-invariants in $T^{p,q}(V)$ and $\hat T^{p,q}(V)$.

§2. THE INVARIANTS OF $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$

Set ${v_r}^* = (x_{r1}, \dots, x_{rn}; x_{r\bar 1}, \dots, x_{r\bar m})$ and $v_s = ({x_{1s}}^*, \dots, {x_{ns}}^*; {x_{\bar 1 s}}^*, \dots, {x_{\bar m s}}^*)^t$, where $x_{ri} = u_r \otimes e_i$ and $x_{is} = {e_i}^* \otimes w_s$, i.e., ${v_r}^*$ is a row vector and v_s is a column vector, so their scalar product is equal to $({v_r}^*, v_s) = \sum\limits_i x_{ri} {x_{is}}^*$.

2.1. Theorem . *The algebra of* $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$*-invariant elements in* $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$ *is generated by the elements* $({v_r}^*, v_s)$ *for all* $r \in R_U$, $s \in R_W$.

Proof. Let A be a supercommutative superalgebra, L a $\mathfrak{g}$-module; let $L_A = (L \otimes A)_{\bar 0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_A = (\mathfrak{g} \otimes A)_{\bar 0}$.

The elements of $S^\bullet(L^*)$ may be considered as functions on L_A with values in A. Let $l \in L_A = (L \otimes A)_{\bar 0} = (\mathrm{Hom}(L^*, A))_{\bar 0}$. Therefore, l determines a homomorphism $\phi_l : S(L^*) \longrightarrow A$. Set

$$f(l) = \phi_l(f) \text{ for any } f \in S^\bullet(L^*).$$

Observe that $\mathfrak{g}_A$ naturally acts on L_A and on the algebra of functions on L_A. □

2.1.1. Statement . ([S2]) *Let A be the Grassmann superalgebra with more indeterminates than* $\dim L_{\bar 1}$. *The element x of $S(L^*)$ is a $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant if and only if x is a $\mathfrak{g}_A$-invariant when considered as a function on L_A.*

2.1.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let V^p denote $V \oplus \dots \oplus V$ (p summands). Set $L = V^p \oplus \Pi(V)^q \oplus (V^*)^k \oplus \Pi(V^*)^l$; then $S(L^*) = \mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$ and we can consider L_A as the set of collections

$$\mathcal{L} = (v_1, \dots, v_p, v_{\bar 1}, \dots, v_{\bar q}, v_1^*, \dots, v_k^*, v_{\bar 1}^*, \dots, v_{\bar l}^*),$$

where $v_s \in V \otimes A$ and $v_t^* \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(V \otimes A, A)$ and the parity of these vectors coincide with the parities of their indices.

Let us write the vectors with right coordinates and the covectors with left ones:

$$v_s = \sum_i e_i a_{is}^*, \quad v_t^* = \sum_i a_{ti} e_i^*.$$

Consider now the elements of $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$ as functions on $\mathcal{L}$, by setting

$$x_{is}^*(\mathcal{L}) = a_{is}^*, \quad x_{ti}^*(\mathcal{L}) = a_{ti}.$$

Therefore, thanks to 2.1.1 it suffices to describe the functions on $\mathcal{L}$ contained in the subalgebra generated by the coordinate functions **??** and invariants with respect to $GL(V \otimes A)$.

Now, since the scalar products turn into scalar products under the $\mathfrak{gl}(U) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$-action, it is sufficient to confine ourselves to the invariants in $\mathfrak{A}^{n,m}_{n,m}$.

Denote by M the set of collections $(v_1, \dots, v_n, v_{\bar 1}, \dots, v_{\bar m})$ that form bases of $V \otimes A$. In Zariski topology the set M is dense in the space of all collections. If f is an invariant and $\mathcal{L} \in M$, then there exists $g \in GL(V \otimes A)$ such that $gv_i = e_i$ for each $i \in T$.

Therefore, $f(\mathcal{L}) = f(g\mathcal{L}) = f(e_1, \dots, e_n, gv^*_{\bar 1}, \dots, gv^*_{\bar m})$ and $f(\mathcal{L})$ is a polynomial in coordinates of the $gv_{\bar t}$. But $(gv^*_{\bar t}, e_i) = (v^*_{\bar t}, g^{-1}e_i) = (v^*_{\bar t}, v_i)$ which proves the theorem. $\square$

Corollary . *The nonzero $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-invariants in $T^{p,q}$ only exist if $p = q$. In this case the $\mathfrak{S}_p \times \mathfrak{S}_p$-module of invariants is generated by the images of the canonical elements $\theta^{\otimes p}$ in $T^{p,p}$ and $\hat\theta$ in $\hat T^{p,p}$.*

Consider now the algebra homomorphism

$$S^\bullet(U \otimes W) \longrightarrow (\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}, \quad u_r \otimes w_s \mapsto (v^*_r, v_s). \tag{2.1}$$

The kernel of this homomorphism is the ideal of relations between the scalar products.

2.2. Theorem . *The ideal of relations between scalar products (v^*_r, v_s) is generated by the polynomials $P_t(I, J)$, where t is a fixed standard rectangular $(n+1) \times (m+1)$ tableau, I and J are t-semistandard sequences with elements from R_U and R_W, respectively.*

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 $S^\bullet(U \otimes W) = \underset{\lambda}{\oplus} U^\lambda \otimes W^\lambda$ and

$$\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l} = S^\bullet(U \otimes V \oplus V^* \otimes W) = S^\bullet(U \otimes V) \otimes S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W) = (\underset{\mu}{\oplus} U^\mu \otimes V^\mu) \otimes (\underset{\nu}{\oplus} (V^*)^\nu \otimes W^\nu),$$

hence, $(\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)} = \underset{\mu:\mu_{n+1} \leq m}{\oplus} U^\mu \otimes W^\mu$. Since homomorphism (2.1) is a homomorphism of $\mathfrak{gl}(V) \oplus \mathfrak{gl}(W)$-modules, its kernel coinsides with $\underset{\lambda:\lambda_{n+1} \geq m+1}{\oplus} U^\lambda \otimes W^\lambda$.

Let ν be a $(n+1) \times (m+1)$ rectangle. The condition $\lambda_{n+1} \geq m+1$ means that $\lambda \supset \nu$ and by Theorem 1.3 it suffices to demonstrate that $P_t(I, J)$, where t is a fixed standard rectangular tableau of size λ, belongs to the ideal generated by $U^\nu \otimes W^\nu$.

Let e_t be the corresponding minimal idempotent, e_s the minimal idempotent for a standard tableau s of size ν. Decomposing R_t with respect to the right cosets relative R_s and decomposing C_t with respect to the left cosets relative C_s we obtain a representation of e_t in the form $\sum \tau_i e_s \sigma_j$. This implies that $P_t(I, J)$ is the sum of polynomials of the form $f_i P_{t_i}(I_i, J_j)\phi_j$, i.e., belongs to the ideal generated by the $P_t(I, J)$. $\square$

§3. The invariants of $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$

First, let us describe certain tensor invariants. Obviously, all $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-invariants are also $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$-invariants. Therefore, we will only describe the $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$-invariants which are not $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-invariants. Denote by $\theta_k = \theta^{\otimes k}$ the invariant in $T^{k,k}$ and by $\hat\theta_k = \hat\theta^{\otimes k}$ the invariant in $\hat T^{k,k}$, and for a given sequence I with elements from R_V set

$$v_I = e_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i_k} \text{ and } v^*_I = e^*_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i^*_k}.$$

Let us represent $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$ in the form $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$, where $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}_{\bar 0}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ are the $\mathfrak{g}_0$-modules generated by the positive and negative root vectors, respectively.

Let $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in R^-}$ and $\{X_\beta\}_{\beta \in R^+}$, where $R^\pm$ are the sets of positive (negative) roots, be some bases of $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\mathfrak{g}_+$, respectively; set $X_- = \prod X_\alpha$ and $X_+ = \prod X_\beta$. The elements $X_\pm$ are uniquely determined up to a constant factor because the subalgebras $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ are commutative.

3.1. Lemma . *Let M be a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module and $\tilde{M} = \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(M)$ be the induced $\mathfrak{g}$-module. Then each of the correspondences $m \mapsto X_+X_-m$ and $m \mapsto X_-X_+m$ is a bijection of $M^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ onto $\tilde{M}^{\mathfrak{g}}$.*

Proof. As follows from Lemma 4.2 below, $\dim M^{\mathfrak{g}_0} = \dim \tilde{M}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. Therefore, it suffices to show that the correspondence $m \mapsto n = X_+X_-m$ is injective map of $M^{\mathfrak{g}_0}$ to $\tilde{M}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. The injectivity is manifest, so we only have to check that the image is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant. Clearly, $\mathfrak{g}_+n = \mathfrak{g}_0 n = 0$. Therefore, it suffices to verify that $X_{-\alpha}n = 0$ for every simple root α. This is subject to a direct check with the help of the multiplication table in $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$. ☐

3.2. Lemma . *Let V_1 and V_2 be finite dimensional $\mathfrak{g}_0$-modules. Set $\mathfrak{g}_+V_1 = \mathfrak{g}_-V_2 = 0$. Then*

$$\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_+} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_-}(V_2) \simeq \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V_1 \otimes V_2). \tag{3.2.1}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules.

Proof. Since the dimensions of both modules are equal, it suffices to show that the natural homomorphism

$$\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0}(V_1 \otimes V_2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_+} V_1 \otimes \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_-}(V_2). \tag{3.2.2}$$

is surjective, i.e., the module generated by $V_1 \otimes V_2$ coinsides with the whole module.

The module in the right hand side has a natural filtration induced by filtrations of the modules $\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_+} V_1$ and $\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_-}(V_2)$. Let the X_α be a basisi of $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and $X_{-\alpha}$ a basisi of $\mathfrak{g}_-$. Consider the module W generated by $V_1 \otimes V_2$, i.e., by the elements of filtration zero and the element

$$w = X_{-\alpha_1} \dots X_{-\alpha_k} v_1 \otimes X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_l} v_2.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}&w = X_{-\alpha_1}(X_{-\alpha_2} \dots X_{-\alpha_k} v_1 \otimes X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_l} v_2) \pm X_{-\alpha_2} \dots X_{-\alpha_k} v_1 \otimes X_{-\alpha_1} X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_l} v_2 = \\ &X_{-\alpha_1}(X_{-\alpha_2} \dots X_{-\alpha_k} v_1 \otimes X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_l} v_2) \pm \\ &\pm X_{-\alpha_2} \dots X_{-\alpha_k} v_1 \otimes (\sum_i X_{\beta_1} \dots X_{\beta_{i-1}} [X_{-\alpha_1}, X_{\beta_i}] X_{\beta_{i+1}} \dots X_{\beta_l} v_2.\end{aligned}$$

Since each summand is of filtration $< k + l$, they belong to W by inductive hypothesis; hence, so does $w \in W$. ☐

Let t be a tableau consisting of m columns and $n + k$ rows and filled in as follows: first, we fill in the tableau t_1 that occupies the first n rows, next, the tableau t_2 that occupies the remaining rows, both tableaux are filled in consequtevely column-wise.

Let s be a tableau consisting of n rows and $k + m$ columns and filled in as follows: first, we fill in the tableau s_1 that occupies the first k columns, next, the tableau t_2 that occupies the remaining columns, both tableaux are filled in consequtevely column-wise.

Let I_k be the sequence obtained by k-fold repetition of the sequence $1, 2, \dots, n$; let J_k be the sequence consisting of k copies of $\bar{1}$ in a row, next k copies of $\bar{2}$ in a row, etc., k copies of $\bar{m}$ in a row.

3.3. Theorem . *In $\hat{T}^{(m+k)n,(n+k)m}(V)$, the element*

$$e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v^*_{I_k} \otimes \hat{\theta}_{nm} \otimes v_{J_k})$$

is an $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$-invariant.

Proof. Let N be any positive integer. Consider the map $\phi : T^{N,N}(V) \longrightarrow T^{N,N}(V_{\bar{0}})$ such that $\phi(V_{\bar{1}}) = \phi(V_{\bar{1}}^*) = 0$. Clearly, ϕ is an $\mathfrak{S}_N \times \mathfrak{S}_N$-module homomorphism because it is induced by projections of V and V^* onto their even parts.

Take X_+ and X_- from Lemma 3.1 and consider the map

$$\psi : T^{N,N}(V_{\bar{0}}) \longrightarrow T^{N,N}(V), \quad v_0 \mapsto X_+X_-v_0.$$

Clearly, ψ is an $\mathfrak{S}_N \times \mathfrak{S}_N$-module homomorphism.

Let us consider the restrictions of the maps ϕ and ψ onto $T^{N,N}(V)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}$ and $T^{N,N}(V_{\bar{0}})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\bar{0}})}$, respectively.

Clearly, ϕ sends the first of these spaces into the second one, whereas by Lemma 3.1 ψ sends the second of these spaces into the first one. Theorem 1.1 implies that, as $\mathfrak{S}_N \times \mathfrak{S}_N$-modules, the spaces $T^{N,N}(V)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}$ and $T^{N,N}(V_{\bar{0}})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\bar{0}})}$ have simple spectra.

Let $S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda \subset T^{N,N}(V)^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}$ while $S_0^\lambda \otimes S_0^\lambda \subset T^{N,N}(V_{\bar{0}})^{\mathfrak{gl}(V_{\bar{0}})}$ correspond to a typical diagram λ and both are nonzero, i.e., $\lambda_n \geq m$ and $\lambda_{n+1} = 0$. Then the simplicity of the spectrum and Lemma 3.1 imply that ϕ and ψ are, up to a constant factor, mutually inverse isomorphisms of the modules $S^\lambda \otimes S^\lambda$ and $S_0^\lambda \otimes S_0^\lambda$.

Let

$$C_t = \mathop{\cup}_{\tau} \tau(C_{t_1} \times C_{t_2}), \quad R_s = \mathop{\cup}_{\sigma} \sigma(R_{s_1} \times R_{s_2})$$

be the decomposition of the column stabilisor C_t of the tableau t with respect to the left cosets relative the product of the column stabilisors of t_1 and t_2 and same of the row stabilisor R_s. Then

$$\tilde{e}_t = \sum_{\tau} \varepsilon(\tau)\tau\tilde{e}_{t_1}\tilde{e}_{t_2}, \quad e_s = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma e_{s_1}e_{s_2}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$\mathfrak{g}_+(V_{\bar{0}}) = \mathfrak{g}_-(V_{\bar{0}}^*) = \mathfrak{g}_+(V_{\bar{1}}^*) = \mathfrak{g}_-(V_{\bar{1}}) = 0. \tag{3.3}$$

The vector $X_+e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*)$ belongs to a typical module, is a highest one with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_0)_+$ and nonzero, where $(\mathfrak{g}_0)_+$ is the set of strictly upper-triangular matrices with respect to the fixed basis of $V_{\bar{0}}$. But (0.2) implies that $e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{J_n}^*)$ is also highest with respect to $\mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_0)_+$ and lies in the same module. This shows that

$$X_+e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*) = c \cdot e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{J_n}^*), \text{ where } c \neq 0.$$

Further, from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 it follows that the vector

$$X_-X_+\left[e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})\right]$$

is $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant because $e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-invariant. We make use of the fact that $X_+\tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m}^* \otimes v_{J_k}^*) = 0$ to decuce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&w = X_-X_+\left[e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})\right] = X_-\left[[X_+e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*)] \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})\right] = \\
&const \cdot X_-[e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{J_n}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})] = \\
&\sum_{\sigma,\tau} \varepsilon(\tau)\sigma \times \tau\left(X_-[e_{s_1}e_{s_2}(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_{t_1}\tilde{e}_{t_2}(v_{I_m} \otimes v_{J_k})]\right) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma,\tau} \varepsilon(\tau)\sigma \times \tau\left(e_{s_1}(v_{I_k}^*) \otimes X_-[e_{s_2}v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_{t_1}(v_{I_m})]\tilde{e}_{t_2}(v_{J_k})\right) = \\
&\sum_{\sigma,\tau} \varepsilon(\tau)\sigma \times \tau\left(e_{s_1}(v_{I_k}^*) \otimes X_-X_+[e_{s_2}(v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_{t_1}(v_{I_m})]\tilde{e}_{t_2}(v_{J_k})\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Further on,

$$\phi(e_{s_2} \times \tilde{e}_{t_1}(\hat{\theta}_{nm})) = e_{s_2} \times \tilde{e}_{t_1}(\phi(\hat{\theta}_{nm})) = e_{s_2} \times \tilde{e}_{t_1}(\sum v_L^* \otimes v_L),$$

where L runs over all the sequences of length nm composed from the integers 1 to n. But, as is not difficult to see,

$$e_{s_2} \times \tilde{e}_{t_1}(\sum v_L^* \otimes v_L) = const \cdot e_{s_2}(v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_{t_1}(v_{I_m}),$$

hence,

$$X_- X_+ e_{s_2}(v_{I_m}^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_{t_1}(v_{I_m}) = const \cdot e_{s_2} \times e_{s_1}(\hat{\theta}_{nm}).$$

Therefore,

$$w = const \cdot \sum_{\sigma,\tau} \varepsilon(\tau)\sigma \times \tau \left(e_{s_1}(v_{I_k}^*) \otimes e_{s_2} \times \tilde{e}_{t_1}(\hat{\theta}_{nm}) \otimes e_{t_2}(v_J)\right) = e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k}^* \otimes \hat{\theta}_{nm} \otimes v_J)$$

which proves the theorem. □

Proof of the following theorem is similar.

3.4. Theorem . *The element* $e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k} \otimes \theta_{nm} \otimes v_{J_k}^*)$ *in* $T^{nm+kn,nm+km}(V)$ *is* $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$*-invariant.*

3.5. Corollary . *Let* L *eb the sequence with elements from* $\mathbb{M}$*. Set*

$$p(L) = \sum p(l_i), \quad \alpha(L, L) = \sum_{i<j} p(l_i)p(l_j).$$

Under notations of Theorems 3.3*,* 3.4 *the invariant elements can be expressed in the form*

$$e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k}^* \otimes \theta_{nm} \otimes v_{J_k}) = \sum_L (-1)^{p(L)+\alpha(L,L)} e_s(v_{I_k}^* \otimes v_L^*) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_L \otimes v_{J_k}) \tag{3.5.1}$$

and

$$e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k} \otimes \theta_{nm}^* \otimes v_{J_k}^*) = \sum_L (-1)^{\alpha(L,L)} e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes v_L) \otimes \tilde{e}_t(v_L^* \otimes v_{J_k}^*), \tag{3.5.2}$$

where the sums run over all the sequences L *of length* nm *with elements from* R_V*.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that $\hat{\theta}_{nm} = \sum\limits_L (-1)^{\alpha(L,L)+p(L)} v_L^* \otimes v_L$, which immediately implies (3.5.1). Formula (3.5.2) is similarly proved. □

3.6. Recall the definition of R_V, R_U and R_W (0.4) and (0.5). For any sequences I and J denote by $I * J$ the sequence obtained by ascribing J at the end of I. Let now I be the sequence of length $(k+m)n$ with elements from R_U, let J be the sequence of length $(k+n)m$ with elements from R_W, let $\hat{I}$ be the sequence of length $(k+n)m$ with elements from R_U and $\hat{J}$ be the sequence of length $(k+m)n$ with elements from R_W.

For any sequence L of length nm with elements from R_V we define:

$$\tilde{P}_s(I, I_k * L) \in S^\bullet(U \otimes V), \quad \tilde{P}_t(L * J_k, J) \in S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W);$$
$$P_t(\hat{I}, L * J_k) \in S^\bullet(U \otimes V), \quad P_s(I_k * L, \hat{J}) \in S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W).$$

Theorem . *The algebra of* $\mathfrak{sl}(V)$*-invariant elements in* $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{p,q}$ *is generated by the elements*

i) (v_r^*, v_s)*, where* $r \in R_U$ *and* $s \in R_W$*;*

ii) $F_k(I, J) = \sum\limits_L (-1)^{\alpha(L,L)} \tilde{P}_s(I, I_k * L)\tilde{P}_t(L * J_k, J)$*, where* I *is an* s*-semistandard sequence and* J *is a* t*-semistandard one;*

iii) $F_{-k}(\hat{I}, \hat{J}) = \sum\limits_L (-1)^{\alpha(L,L)+p(L)(p(\hat{I})+p(\hat{J}))} P_s(I_k * L, \hat{J}) P_t(\hat{I}, L * J_k)$*,*

where $\hat{I}$ *is an* s*-semistandard sequence,* $\hat{J}$ *is a* t*-semistandard one and* L *runs over all the sequences of length* nm *with elements from* R_V*.*

Proof. For Young tableaux λ and μ we have

$$(V^{\lambda} \otimes V^{*\mu})^{\mathfrak{sl}(V)} = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{sl}(V)}(V^{\mu}, V^{\lambda}).$$

The dimension of this space is equal to either 0 or 1. It is equal to 1 only if $\lambda = \mu$ or both of them contain a $n \times m$ rectangle and $\lambda_i = \mu_i + k$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and $\lambda'_j = \mu'_j + k$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

To prove the theorem, it suffices to show that for the above λ and μ the module $V^{\lambda} \otimes V^{*\mu}$ containes an invariant which can be expressed via the invariants listed in the theorem. By [S2] such an invariant exists. Under the canonical homomorphism of the tensor algebra onto the symmetric one, the invariants of the form i)–iii) turn into a system of generators. Theorem is proved. ☐

3.7. To the invariant element in $T^{n(m+k),m(n+k)}(V)$ there corresponds an invariant operator $T^{m(n+k)}(V) \longrightarrow T^{n(m+k)}(V)$. To describe it, observe that C_t can be represented as $C_t = \coprod\limits_{\pi \in Z} (C_{t_1} \times C_{t_2})\pi$, the decomposition into right cosets relative the product of the column stabilizers of tableaux t_1 and t_2; let Z be a collection of their representatives. Define

$$D_{J_k} : T^{m(n+k)}(V) \longrightarrow T^{nm}(V), \quad D_{J_k}(v_1 \otimes v_2) = (-1)^{p(J_k)p(v_1)} v_1 \cdot v^*_{J_k}(v_2).$$

Lemma . *Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invariant operator corresponding to $e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k} \otimes \theta_{nm} \otimes v^*_{J_k})$. Then*

$$\mathcal{L}(e_t(v_L)) = const \cdot \mathcal{L}(v_L) = e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes D^*{}_{J_k} e_{t_2} \sum_{\pi \in Z} \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L). \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. To θ_{nm} there corresponds the identity operator $\mathrm{id} : V^{\otimes nm} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes nm}$; hence, to $\theta_{nm} \otimes v^*_{J_k}$ there corresponds the operator $D_{J_k} : V^{\otimes m(n+k)} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes nm}$ and to $v_{I_k} \otimes \theta_{nm} \otimes v^*_{J_k}$ there corresponds the operator $v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k}$; finally, to $e_s \times \tilde{e}_t(v_{I_k} \otimes \theta_{nm} \otimes v^*_{J_k})$ there corresponds the operator $e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k})e_t$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}(e_t(v_L)) = e_s v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k})e_t^2(v_L = c_1 e_s v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k})e_t(v_L) = c_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}(v_L) = \\
&c_1 e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k} \sum_{\pi} e_{t_1} e_{t_2} \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L) = c_1 e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes e_{t_1} D_{J_k} e_{t_2} \sum_{\pi} \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L) = \\
&c_1 e_s e_{t_1}(v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k} e_{t_2} \sum_{\pi} \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L) = c_1 c_2 e_s(v_{I_k} \otimes D_{J_k} e_{t_2} \sum_{\pi} \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L).
\end{aligned}$$

The last equality follows from $e_s e_{t_1} = c_2 e_s$. ☐

3.8. Let us consider the case $k = 1$ in more detail. Let L be a sequence of length $nm + m$ with elements from R_V, considered as a t-tableau.

In each column L, mark an "odd" element so that all the elements marked, say, $l = (l_1, \dots, l_m)$, are distinct. The pair (L, l) will be called a *marked* tableau. Introduce the following notations: c_i for the parity of the i-th column, d_i for the parity of the last elelment in the i-th column, b_i for the parity of the column under the i-th marked element, $|b_i|$ for the number of elements in the i-th column under the i-th marked element, $\varepsilon(l)$ for the sign of the permutation $l = (l_1, \dots, l_m)$ and $\varepsilon(L, l) = (-1)^{q(L)}\varepsilon(l)$; set further

$$\varepsilon(L) = c_2 + c_4 + \dots + d_2 + d_4 + \dots; \quad q(L) = b_1 + |b_1| + b_2 + |b_2| + \dots.$$

Theorem . *The invariant operator is of the form*

$$\mathcal{L}(e_t(v_L)) = const \cdot \mathcal{L}(v_L) = const \cdot \varepsilon(L) \sum_{(L,l)} \varepsilon(L, l) e_s(v_{I_1} \otimes v_{L \setminus l}), \tag{3.8}$$

where the constant factor does not depend on L.

Proof. Since for the representatives of the cosets of $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}/\mathfrak{S}_n$ we can take a collection of cycles, we may assume in formula (3.7) that

$$\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_m, \quad \pi_i\pi_j = \pi_j\pi_i \text{ for any } i, j.$$

Hence, $\pi^2 = 1$. Furhter on, $D_{J_1}e_{t_2}\sum \varepsilon(\pi)\pi v_L \neq 0$ if and only if the last row of πL for some π is, up to a permutation, a permutation of $\{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{m}\}$.

The set of marked tableau (L, l) is in one-to-one correspondence with the set of pairs (L, π) such that the last row of πL is, up to a permutation, $\{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{m}\}$. Indeed, from the pair (L, l) determine $\pi = \pi_1 \dots \pi_m$, where π_i is the cycle that shifts the elements under the i-th marked one one cell up along the column and places the marked one at the bottom. If the marked element lies in the last row, we set $\pi_i = 1$.

And, the other way round, given π, we mark $\pi(k_1)$, ... , $\pi(k_m)$, where $(k_1, \dots, k_m)$ is the last row of L. Hence, (3.7) implies that

$$\mathcal{L}(v_L) = \sum_{(L,l)} \delta(L, l) e_s(v_{I_1} \otimes v_{L\setminus l}),$$

where $\delta(L, l)$ is a sign depending on (L, l). Direct calculations of this sign lead us to (3.8). □

§4. The absolute invariants of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$

Let $A = U(\mathfrak{osp}(V))[\varepsilon]$ be the central extension with the only extra relation $\varepsilon^2 = 1$.

On A, introduce the coalgebra structure making use of that on $U(\mathfrak{osp}(V))$ and setting $\varepsilon \mapsto \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$. Assuming that ε acts on V as the scalar operator of multiplication by -1, we may consider V as an A-module. Using the coalgebra structure on A, one can determine a natural A-action in $T^{p,q}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l}$. So, we can speak about A-invariants in these modules.

4.1. Lemma . *Let $\mathfrak{gl}(V) = \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$, as in §3 and let M be a $\mathfrak{g}_0$-module. Set $\mathfrak{g}_+M = 0$. There is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-modules*

$$\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{gl}(V)}_{\mathfrak{g}_0\oplus\mathfrak{g}_+}(M) \simeq \operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{osp}(V)}_{\mathfrak{osp}(V)_{\bar{0}}}(M). \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Cf. [S2], Lemma 5.1. □

4.2. Lemma . *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie superalgebra and the representation of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$ in the maximal exterior power of $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{1}}$ is trivial. Then there is an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$\operatorname{ind}^{\mathfrak{g}}_{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}}(M)^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq M^{\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}}. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. Cf. [S2], Lemma 5.2. □

Remark . Statements similar to Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 hold also for $U(\mathfrak{osp}(V))[\varepsilon]$-modules. One can refine Lemma 4.2 and prove that if $v_0 \in M$ is $\mathfrak{g}_{\bar{0}}$-invariant, then the corresponding to it $\mathfrak{g}$-invariant vector is of the form $\xi_1 \dots \xi_n v_0+$ terms of lesser degree.

4.3. The presence of an even $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$- and A-invariant form on V determins an isomorphism of A-modules and algebras $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q}_{k,l} = \mathfrak{A}^{p+k,q+l}$. Therefore, we may assume that $k = l = 0$. By definition, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ preserves the vector

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} e^*_i \otimes e^*_{n-i+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{r} (e^*_{\overline{m-j+1}} \otimes e^*_{\bar{j}} - e^*_{\bar{j}} \otimes e^*_{\overline{m-j+1}}),$$

where $\dim V = (n|2r)$. Therefore, the scalar products

$$(v_s, v_t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x^*_{is} x^*_{n-i+1,t} + (-1)^{p(s)} \sum_{j=1}^{r} (x^*_{\overline{m-j+1},s} x^*_{\bar{j},t} - x^*_{\bar{j},s} x^*_{\overline{m-j+1,t}}), \tag{4.3}$$

where $s, t \in R_W$, are $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$- and A-invariants.

Theorem . *The algebra of A-invariant elements in $\mathfrak{A}^{p,q} = S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W)$ is generated by the elements (v_s, v_t) for $s, t \in R_W$.*

Proof. Cf. [S2], Theorem 5.3. □

4.4. Let I be a sequence of length $2k$ with elements from R_W. Determine an element $X(I) \in S^\bullet(S^2(W))$ by setting

$$X(I) = x_{i_1 i_2} \dots x_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}},$$

where x_{ij} is the canonical image of the element $w_i \otimes w_j \in S^2(W)$.

Let t be a tableau of order $2k$ with rows of even lengths. Then an "even Pfaffian" is defined:

$$Pf_t(I) = \sum_{\tau \in C_t,\, \sigma \in R_t} \varepsilon(\tau) c(I, (\sigma\tau)^{-1}) X(\sigma\tau I). \tag{4.4}$$

Theorem . a) *$S^\bullet(S^2(W)) = \oplus W^\lambda$, where the length of each row of λ is even.*

b) *Let t be a λ-tableau filled in along rows with the numbers* 1, 2, … . *Then the family $Pf_t(I)$ for the t-standard sequences I is a basis of W^λ.*

Proof. On $T^{2k}(W) = W^{\otimes 2k}$ the group $\mathfrak{S}_{2k}$ and its subgroup $G_k = \mathfrak{S}_k \circ \mathbb{Z}_2^k$ naturally act; namely, $\mathfrak{S}_k$ permutes pairs $(2i-1, 2i)$ whereas $\mathbb{Z}_2^k$ permutes inside each pair.

Clearly, $S^k(S^2(W)) = T^{2k}(W)^{G_k}$.

But, on the other hand, $T^{2k}(W) = \oplus\, S^\lambda \otimes W^\lambda$, so $T^{2k}(W)^{G_k} = \oplus\, (S^\lambda)^{G_k} \otimes W^\lambda$. Hence, in the decomposition of $S^k(S^2(W))$ only enter W^λ for which $(S^\lambda)^{G_k} \neq 0$ and their multiplicity is equal to $\dim(S^\lambda)^{G_k}$.

But

$$(S^\lambda)^{G_k} = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_k}(\mathrm{ind}_{G_k}^{\mathfrak{S}_k}(\mathrm{id}), S^\lambda),$$

so the multiplicity of W^λ in $S^k(S^2(W))$ is equal to that of S^λ in $\mathrm{ind}_{G_k}^{\mathfrak{S}_k}(\mathrm{id})$. By [H] it is equal to 1 if the lengths of all rows of λ are even and 0 otherwise. This proves a).

b) Consider now the natural map $T^{2k}(W) \longrightarrow S^k(S^2(W))$. For the tableau t from the conditions of the theorem and the sequence I the vectors $e_t(w_I)$ form a basis of W^λ. So the images of these vectors (which are exactly the $Pf_t(I)$) form a basis of $W^\lambda \subset S^k(S^2(W))$. □

4.5. Consider the algebra homomorphism

$$S^\bullet(S^2 * W)) \longrightarrow S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W), \quad x_{st} \mapsto (v_s, v_t). \tag{4.5}$$

Its kernel is the ideal of relations between scalar products.

Theorem . *The ideal of relations between scalar products is generated by polynomials $Pf_t(I)$, where t is a $(2r+2) \times (n+1)$ rectangle filled in along rows and I is a t-standard sequence with elements from R_W.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.3

$$S(V^* \otimes W)^A = \underset{\lambda}{\oplus} (V^{*\lambda})^A \otimes W^\lambda = \underset{\lambda_{n+1} \leq 2r}{\oplus} W^\lambda.$$

Hence, the kernel of homomorphism (4.5) is equal to $\underset{\lambda_{n+1}\geq 2r+2}{\oplus} W^\lambda$. Let us prove that it is contained in the ideal generated by W^λ, where λ is a $(2r+2)\times(n+1)$ rectangle.

Let $\mu\supset\lambda$ and e_s the corresponding idempotent. Then $e_s=\sum\tau_i e_t\sigma_j$. Hence,

$$e_s(J)=\sum\tau_i e_t(\sigma_j J)=\sum e_{\tau_i t}(\tau_i\sigma_j J).$$

Thus, $Pf_s(J)=\sum\limits_{i,j} f_{ij}Pf_{\tau_i t}(J_{ij})$ and we are done. □

§5. The relative invariants of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$

The invariants of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$ are, first of all, the ones generated by scalar products. To describe the other invariants, let us describe a certain invariant in the tensor algebra. Let $\dim V=n|m$. For $i\in R_V$ define $\tilde{i}$ by setting

$$\tilde{i}=n-i+1 \text{ if } i \text{ is “even” and } \overline{m-i+1} \text{ if } i \text{ is “odd”}.$$

Let $I=i_1i_2\dots i_{2p}$ be a sequence of even length with elements from R_V and I^* the set consisting of the pairs $(i_{2\alpha-1},i_{2\alpha})$ for $\alpha\leq p$ such that $\tilde{i}_{2\alpha-1}\neq i_{2\alpha}$. Let t be a rectangular $n\times m$ tableau consequtively filled in along columns from left to right and I a sequence with elements from R_V. Let us fill in the tableau t with elements from I: replace α with i_α. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the set of sequences I such that all the rows of t except the last row are of the form

$$i_1\tilde{i}_1\dots i_r\tilde{i}_r \text{ for } r=\frac{1}{2}m,$$

while the last row J should be such that if $j\in\hat{J}$, then $\tilde{j}\in\hat{J}$ and $\hat{J}$ consist of pairwise distinct “odd” elements.

Let $I\in\mathcal{T}$. Set $r=\frac{1}{2}m$, let ν be the total amount of marked pairs from the last row consisting of pairwise conjugate “odd” elements that do not belong to $N(L)$; let $n_1,\dots,n_\nu$ the multiplicities with which these pairs enter the last row and $N=n_1+\dots+n_\nu$; let σ_l be the l-th elementary symmetric function. Set

$$K(I)=\sum_{q=s}^{s+\nu}(N+1)^r2^{r-q}(r-q)!N^q\sigma_{q-s}(n_1,\dots,n_\nu)$$

and $d(I)=d(I_1)d(I_3)\dots d(I_{2r-1})$, where $d(J)=(-1)^{\alpha(J,J)}$, see (1.2)??.

5.1. Theorem . *In $V^{\otimes n(m+1)}$ lies an $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariant element*

$$\nabla_{m+1}=\sum_{I\in\mathcal{T}}d(I)K(I)e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_I). \tag{5.1.1}$$

Proof. Set

$$c(i,\tilde{i})=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if } p(i)=0 \text{ or } i<\tilde{i} \text{ and } p(i)=1\\ -1 & \text{if } i>\tilde{i} \text{ and } p(i)=1.\end{cases}$$

The map

$$V\longrightarrow V^*,\quad e_i\mapsto c(i,\tilde{i})e^*_{\tilde{i}}$$

is an isomorphism induced by the invariant bilinear form and $\tilde{\theta}_2=\sum\limits_{i\in R_V}c(i,\tilde{i})e_i\otimes e_{\tilde{i}}$ is an $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariant.

Let t be a rectangular $(n+1)\times m$ tableau as in Theorem 3.8 and J a t-sequence such that after being filled each row J is of the form $j_1\tilde{j}_1\dots j_r\tilde{j}_r$. Denote by $\mathcal{T}_1$ the set of such sequences J. Then

$$\tilde{\theta}=\theta_2^{\otimes\frac{1}{2}(n+1)m}=\sum_{J\in\mathcal{T}_1}d(J)c(J)v_J, \tag{5.1.2}$$

where J_1, … , J_{2r-1} are the columns of the tableau t and where

$$d(J)=d(J_1)d(J_3)\dots d(J_{2r-1})\text{ while }c(J)=c(J_1)c(J_3)\dots c(J_{2r-1})$$

whereas $c(J_\alpha)=\prod\limits_{i\in J_\alpha}c(i,\tilde{i})$.

The element (5.1.2) is an $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariant; having applied to it the operator $\mathcal{L}$ from Theorem 3.8 we get another $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariant:

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\theta})=\sum_J d(J)c(J)\mathcal{L}(v_J)=\sum_{J,l}d(J)c(J)\varepsilon(J)\varepsilon(J,l)e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_{J\setminus l}), \tag{5.1.3}$$

where

$$\varepsilon(J)=\prod_{1\le i\le r}\varepsilon(J_{2i-1}*J_{2i}),\quad \varepsilon(J,l)=\operatorname{sign}(l)\prod_{1\le i\le r}\varepsilon(J_{2i-1}*J_{2i},l_{2i-1}*l_{2i}).$$

For the collection $(J_{2i-1},J_{2i},l_{2i-1},l_{2i})$ define the sequence (I_{2i-1},I_{2i}) as follows: if l_{2i-1} and l_{2i} lie in the same row just strike them out, if l_{2i-1} and l_{2i} lie in distinct rows we strike them out and place their conjugates, $\tilde{l}_{2i-1}$ and $\tilde{l}_{2i}$, in the last row in the same columns. The sequence I takes the form $(I_1,I_2,\dots,I_{2r-1},I_{2r})$. It is not difficult to verify that

$$e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_{J\setminus l})=\operatorname{sign}(l)\varepsilon(J,l)e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_I)\text{ and }d(J)=(-1)^rd(I)\varepsilon(J).$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(\tilde{\theta})=(-1)^r\sum_{J,l}\operatorname{sign}(l)c(J)d(I)e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_I).$$

The constant factor, the sign, can be, clearly, replaced with a 1. If I is of the above form, then in the last row for some values of i the pairs (l_{2i-1},l_{2i}) are conjugate whereas all the remaining values of i are odd and pairwise distinct, call them $I^*=\{k_1,\dots,k_{2p}\}$. Set

$$\hat{c}(I)=\operatorname{sign}(k_1,\dots,k_{2p})(-1)^p\prod_{c(i_{2\alpha-1},i_{2\alpha}),\neq 0}c(i_{2\alpha-1},i_{2\alpha}),$$

where $\operatorname{sign}(k_1,\dots,k_{2p})$ is the sign of the permutation. Then $c(J)\varepsilon(l)=\hat{c}(I)$ and, therefore,

$$\mathcal{L}(\theta_{m+1})=\sum_{J,l}c(I)d(I)e_s(v_{I_1}\otimes v_I).$$

To complete the proof, it suffices to calculate the number of pairs(J,l) that give the sequence I which leads to formula (5.1.1). $\square$

5.2. Theorem . *The algebra of $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariants is generated by the polynomials*

i) (v_s,v_t) *for* $s,t\in R_W$ *and*

ii) $R(J)=\sum\limits_I d(I)K(I)Pf_s(I_1*I,J)$ *for every* $I\in\mathcal{T}$ *and every* s*-standard sequence* J *with elements from* R_W.

Proof. Let f be an $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariant which is not A-invariant. Let f depend on $n-1$ even and $2r$ odd generic vectors $v_1, \dots, v_{\overline{2r}}$. Then there exists a $g \in OSp(V \otimes A)$ such that $gSpan(v_1, \dots, v_{\overline{2r}}) = Span(e_1, \dots, e_{\overline{2r}})$.

Let $he_n = -e_n$ whereas $he_i = e_i$ for $i \neq n$. Then $\operatorname{ber}(h) = -1$ and $f(hg\mathcal{L}) = -f(g\mathcal{L})$. But, on the other hand, $f(hg\mathcal{L}) = f(g\mathcal{L})$, hence, $f = 0$. This means that $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$-invariants other than scalar products may only be of type λ corresponding to a typical module. So, in the same vein as for A-invariants, we see that $\dim(V^{*\lambda})^{\mathfrak{osp}(V)} = 1$ if λ is typical and its first n rows are of odd lengths whereas the remaining rows are of even lengths. If we do not consider the scalar products, then for the other (atypical) λ there are no invariants.

Under the canonical homomorphism $T^k(V^*) \otimes T^k(W) \longrightarrow S^k(V^* \otimes W)$ the module $V^{*\lambda} \otimes W^\lambda$ turns into its copy and a basis of the first copy becomes a basis of the second one. This shows that if λ is an $n \times (2r+1)$ rectangle, then the polynomials $R(J)$ from the theorem constitute a basis of $V^{*\lambda} \otimes W^\lambda$, a subspace of $S^k(V^* \otimes W)$.

For an arbitrary λ containing an $n \times (2r+1)$ rectangle we apply the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 2.2. □

§6. THE INVARIANTS OF $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$

Suppose $\dim V = (n|n)$, the e_i^* is a basis of $V_{\bar 0}$ and the $e_{\bar i}^*$ be the dual basis of $V_{\bar 1}$ with respect to an odd nondegenerate form on V. Then $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$ preserves the tensor $\sum(e_i^* \otimes e_{\bar i}^* + e_{\bar i}^* \otimes e_i^*)$.

Observe that the scalar products

$$(v_s, v_t) = \sum (-1)^{p(s)} (x_{is}^* \otimes e_{\bar i,t}^* + e_{\bar i,s}^* \otimes e_{it}^*) \text{ for any } s, t \in S$$

are $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$-invariants. Moreover, the presence of the odd form determines an isomorphism of algebras and $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$-modules $\mathfrak{A}_{k,l}^{p,q} = \mathfrak{A}^{p+l,q+k}$, so, as for the orthosymplectic case, we may assume that $k = l = 0$.

The compatible $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$ induces compatible $\mathbb{Z}$-gradings of $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+, \text{ where } \mathfrak{g}_- = \Lambda^2(V),\ \mathfrak{g}_+ = S^2(V^*) \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ or } \mathfrak{sl}(V)$$

(There is also another, isomorphic, representation which we will not use in this paper:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+, \text{ where } \mathfrak{g}_- = \Lambda^2(V^*),\ \mathfrak{g}_+ = S^2(V) \text{ and } \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(V) \text{ or } \mathfrak{sl}(V).)$$

Let X_α, $1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$, be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_+$ and let Y_β, $1 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$, be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}_-$. Set

$$X_+ = \prod_{1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} X_\alpha, \quad Y_- = \prod_{1 \leq \beta \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} Y_\beta.$$

Observe that the weight of X^+ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra is equal to $(n+1)\sum \varepsilon_i$ and the weight of Y^- is equal to $-(n-1)\sum \varepsilon_i$.

6.1. Lemma . *Let* $L = \operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+}^{\mathfrak{g}}(M) = \operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}}(N)$ *be a typical irreducible* $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{gl}(V)$*-module. There exists an isomorphism of vector spaces*

$$L^{\mathfrak{spe}(V)} = M^{\mathfrak{spe}(V)_{\bar 0}} = N^{\mathfrak{spe}(V)_{\bar 0}}$$

given by the formulas

$$M \longrightarrow L,\ m \mapsto Y^- m \text{ and } N \longrightarrow L,\ n \mapsto X^+ n$$

the inverse map being given by the formulas

$$L \longrightarrow M,\ l \mapsto X^- l \text{ and } L \longrightarrow N,\ l \mapsto Y^+ l.$$

Proof. Consider the two gradings of L:

$$L_k^+ = Span(f(X_\alpha)n : n \in N \text{ and } \deg f = k)$$

and

$$L_k^- = Span(f(Y_\beta)m : m \in M \text{ and } \deg f = k).$$

It is clear that $L_k^+ = L_{n^2-k}^-$.

If l is a $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$-invariant, then $X_\alpha l = 0$ (for $1 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$) and $l = X^+f(X_\alpha)n$ for $n \in N$. Therefore, $l = \sum\limits_{r \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} l_r^+$, where $l_r^+ \in L_r^+$. We similarly establish that $l = \sum\limits_{1 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} l_s^-$, where $l_s^- \in L_s^-$. Hence, $\sum\limits_{r \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)} l_r^+ = \sum\limits_{1 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)} l_s^-$. Taking into account the equality $L_k^+ = L_{n^2-k}^-$ we deduce that $l \in L_{\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)}^+ = L_{\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)}^-$ and $l = X^+n = Y^-m$ for some $n \in N$ and $m \in M$. Moreover, it is clear that m and n are $\mathfrak{spe}(V)_{\bar{0}} = \mathfrak{sl}(V_{\bar{0}})$-invariants.

Conversely, if m and n are $\mathfrak{sl}(V_{\bar{0}})$-invariants, then a direct check shows that X^+n and Y^-m are $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$-invariants. □

6.2. Theorem . *The algebra of* $\mathfrak{pe}(V)$*-invariants is generated by the scalar products* (v_s, v_t) *for* $s, t \in R_W$*.*

Proof. See[S2], sec. 6.2. □

Let I be a sequence of length $2k$ composed of elements from R_W. Determine the element $Y(I) \in E^\bullet(S^2(W)) = S^\bullet(\Pi(S^2(W)))$ by setting

$$Y(I) = (-1)^\beta y_{i_1 i_2} \dots y_{i_{2k-1} i_{2k}},$$

where y_{ij} is the canonical image of the element $\omega_i \otimes \omega_j$ and $\beta = \sum\limits_{1 \leq \alpha \leq k} (k - \alpha)(i_{2\alpha-1} + i_{2\alpha})$.

6.3. Let λ be a partition of the form $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p, \alpha_1 - 1, \dots, \alpha_p - 1)$ in Frobenius' notations (see [M]) and t be a tableau of the form λ filled in so that the underdiagonal columns the diagonal cells including are filled in consequtively with odd (ili "odd"?) numbers while the rows to the right of the diagonal are consequtively occupied by even numbers. For a tableau of such a form and a sequence I the "periplectic" Pfaffian is defined:

$$PPf_t(I) = \sum_{\tau \in C_t,\ \sigma \in R_t} \varepsilon(\tau) c(I, (\sigma\tau)^{-1}) Y(\sigma\tau I). \tag{6.3.1}$$

6.3.1. Theorem . *For the above tableau t the family $PPf_t(I)$ for the t-standard sequences I is a basis in the module $W^\lambda \subset E^\bullet(S^2(W))$.*

Proof. From the theory of λ-rings it follows that $E^\bullet(S^2(W)) = \oplus W^\lambda$, where the sum runs over the λ of the above described form. One can easily verify that for the tableau as indicated in the formulation of the theorem and a t-standard sequence I the image $e_t(w_I)$ in $E^\bullet(S^2(W))$ is nonzero. Hence, for a fixed tableau t the canonical map $T^{2k}(W) \longrightarrow E^k(S^2(W))$ performes an isomorphism of $e_t(T^{2k}(W))$ with $W^\lambda \subset E^k(S^2(W))$. This implies the theorem. □

6.3.2. Consider now an algebra homomorphism

$$E^\bullet(S^2(W)) \longrightarrow S^\bullet(V^* \otimes W), \quad y_{st} \mapsto (v_s, v_t). \tag{6.3.2}$$

Theorem . *The kernel of* (6.3.2) *is generated by polynomials $PPf_t(I)$, where t is of the form of a $(n+1) \times (n+2)$ rectangle and is filled in as described in the previous section and I is a t-standard sequence with elements from R_W.*

Proof. Clearly,

$$(S^k(V^*\otimes W))^{\mathfrak{pe}(V)} = (\bigoplus_{\lambda:\lambda_{n+1}\leq n} V^{*\lambda}\otimes W^{*\lambda})^{\mathfrak{pe}(V)} = \bigoplus_{\lambda:\lambda_{n+1}\leq n} W^{*\lambda},$$

where λ is of the same form as stated in Theorem. Since (6.3.2) is a $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$-module homomorphism, its kernel is $\bigoplus\limits_{\lambda:\lambda_{n+1}\geq n+2} W^{*\lambda}$. The fact that this kernel is generated by the elements of the least degreee is proved by the same arguments as for $\mathfrak{osp}(V)$. $\square$

§7. The invariants of $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$

First, let us describe certain tensor invariants. Let $\mathcal{T}_1$ be the set of matrices A whose entries are equal to either 1 or 0, with zeroes on the main diagonal and such that $a_{ij}+a_{ji}=1$ for all offdiagonal entries. Set

$$A_i = \sum a_{pq},\ \text{where the sum runs over all the elements strictly below the } i\text{-th row.}$$

Define $|A|$ recursively: for $n=2$ set $|A|=0$ and for $n>2$ set

$$|A| = |A^*| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} a_{in}A_i^* + \sum_{1\leq j<i<n} a_{in}a_{nj} + \sum_{i>j} a_{ij} + \frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(n-2),$$

where A^* is obtained from A by striking out the last row and the last column.

7.1. Lemma . *$Y^- = \prod\limits_{i<j}(E_{\bar{i},j} - E_{\bar{j},i}) = \sum\limits_{A\in\mathcal{T}_1}(-1)^{|A|}E_A$, where the product runs over the lexicografically ordered set of pairs $i<j$ and $E_A = \prod E_{\bar{i},j}^{a_{i,j}}$ and where the last product is taken over the rows of the matrix A from left to right and downwards.*

Proof. Clearly, Y^- is the product of $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$ factors. In each factor, select either $E_{\bar{i},j}$ or $E_{\bar{j},i}$. In the first case, for $E_{\bar{i},j}$, set $a_{i,j}=1$ and $a_{j,i}=0$ in the second case set the other way round. We get a matrix with the properties desired. The sign is obtained after reordering of the sequence of the a_{ij}:

$$a_{12}a_{21}a_{13}a_{31}\dots a_{1n}a_{n1}\dots a_{n-1,n}a_{n,n-1}\mapsto a_{12}a_{13}\dots a_{1n}\dots a_{n-1,n}a_{n1}a_{n2}\dots a_{n,n-1}.$$

This is performed by induction: first, the pairs $a_{in}a_{ni}$ are moved to the end in increasing order, this accrues the exponent of the sign with $\frac{1}{6}n(n-1)(n-2)$, then we reorder the elements with indices lesser than n, which adds $|A^*|$, then the elements of the sequence $a_{1n}a_{n1}a_{2n}a_{n2}\dots a_{n-1,n}a_{n,n-1}$ are rearranged into the sequence $a_{1n}\dots a_{n-1,n}a_{n1}a_{n2}\dots a_{n,n-1}$ which adds $\sum\limits_{j<i} a_{in}a_{ni}$ to the exponent, and, finally, the elements $a_{1n}, \dots, a_{n-1,n}$ are placed onto the end of the i-th row adding $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n-2} a_{in}A_i^*$. Besides, if $i>j$, then $E_{\bar{i},j}$ enters Y^- with a minus sign; this adds $\sum\limits_{i>j} a_{ij}$. $\square$

7.2. the numbers i and j we be referred to as *conjugate* if $i=\bar{j}$, i.e., they are equal but belong to copies of $\mathbb{N}$ of distinct "parity". Let $\mathcal{T}_2$ be the set of sequences of length n^2 considered as $n\times n$-tableaux filled in along columns and with the following properties: the numbers symmetric with respect to the main diagonal are conjugate, the (i,j)-th entry is occupied with one of the numbers i or $\bar{j}$, the main diagonal is filled in with "odd" numbers $\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}$. For every $L\in\mathcal{T}_2$ determine the matrix $A=(a_{ij})$ by setting $a_{ij}=p(l_{ij})$, $n(L) = \#(\text{"even" elements in } L)$, $m(L) = m(A) = \sum\limits_{i\text{ is "even"}} a_{ij}$, $\varepsilon(L) = (-1)^{|A|+n(L)}$; let $m_k(L) = \frac{((n+k)!)^n}{(n+k-l_1)!\dots(n+k-l_n)!}$, where $l_i = \sum_j a_{ij}$.

Theorem . *The elements*

$$e_t\left(\sum(-1)^{km(L)}\varepsilon(L)m_k(L)v^*_L\otimes v^*_{J_k}\right)\ \textit{for } L\in\mathcal{T}_2$$

and

$$e_t\left(\sum\varepsilon(L)m_0(L)v^*_L\otimes v^*_{I_k}\right)\ \textit{for } L\in\mathcal{T}_2$$

are $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$*-invariant.*

Proof. Let r be an $(n+k)\times n$ rectangle filled in along columns. Set $w=v^*_{J_{n+k}}$. Denote by $w^{j_1}_{i_1}\dots w^{j_p}_{i_p}$ the tensor obtained from w by replacing the elements occupying positions $i_1,\dots,i_p$ with numbers $j_1,\dots,j_p$, respectively. Then

$$e_r(E_{\bar n,j}w)=(-1)^{i-1}e_r(w^j_i)(n+k),$$

where i is any of the numbers of the positions occupied by $\bar n$.

If $E_{A_n}=\prod E^{\alpha_{n,j}}_{\bar n,j}$, the product being ordered in order of increase of indices j, then

$$e_r(E_{A_n}w)=(-1)^{i_1-1+\dots+i_l-1}\frac{(n+k)!}{(n+k-l)!}e_r(w^{j_1,\dots,j_l}_{i_1,\dots,i_l}),$$

where $\{j_1,\dots,j_l\}=\{j\mid\alpha_{n,j}\neq0\}$ and $l=\sum\limits_j\alpha_{n,j}$, where $i_1<\dots<i_l$.

Assume that $\{i_1,\dots,i_l\}=\{a+j_1-1,\dots,a+j_l-1\}$, where a is the number of the first element in the n-th column of tableau r. We thus get

$$e_r(E_{A_n}w)=(-1)^{l\cdot a+j_1+\dots+j_l}\frac{(n+k)!}{(n+k-l)!}e_r(w^{j_1,\dots,j_l}_{i_1,\dots,i_l}).$$

By continuing the process we get

$$e_r(E_Aw)=(-1)^{\varepsilon(A)}\frac{[(n+k)!]^n}{(n+k-l_1)!\dots(n+k-l_n)!}e_r(v^*_{I_A}),$$

where $\varepsilon(A)=a_1+\dots+a_n+n(A_n)+n(A_{n-1})+\dots+n(A_1)$ and a_i is the number of the first element in the ith column and where $n(A_i)$ is equal to the sum of the numbers of the places occupied by the 1's, and where I_A coinsides with J_{n+k} everywhere unless the $a_{ij}=1$; then the (ij)-th entry of I_A is occupied by j.

If I and J are two sequences and t and s are two tableaux of the same form such that after filling t with the elements from I and s with the elements from J one gets geometrically identical pictures, then $\sigma t=s$ implies $\sigma I=J$. Indeed,

$$I(\sigma^{-1}\alpha)=t(\sigma^{-1}\alpha)=s(\alpha)=J(\alpha).$$

Therefore, if $\sigma t=r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&Y^-e_t(v^*_{J_n}v^*_{J_k})=Y^-e_{\sigma^{-1}r}(v^*_{\sigma^{-1}(J_{n+k})})=\\&Y^-\sigma^{-1}e_{r\sigma}(\sigma^{-1}v^*_{J_{n+k}})\cdot c(J_{n+k},\sigma)=c(J_{n+k},\sigma)\sigma^{-1}Y^-e_r(v^*_{J_{n+k}})\end{aligned}$$

because thanks to the fact that J_{n+k} only contains "odd" elements $c(J_{n+k},\sigma)=\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)$.

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Y^- e_t(v^*_{J_n} v^*_{J_k}) = \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} e_r \left(\sum_A (-1)^{\varepsilon(A)} \frac{[(n+k)!]^n}{(n+k-l_1)!\dots(n+k-l_n)!} v^*_{I_A}\right) = \\
&\operatorname{sign}(\sigma) e_t \left(\sum_A (-1)^{\varepsilon(A)} \frac{[(n+k)!]^n}{(n+k-l_1)!\dots(n+k-l_n)!} \sigma^{-1} v^*_{I_A}\right) = \\
&\operatorname{sign}(\sigma) e_t \left(\sum_A (-1)^{\varepsilon(A)} \frac{[(n+k)!]^n}{(n+k-l_1)!\dots(n+k-l_n)!} c(I_A, \sigma) v^*_{J_A} \otimes v_{J_k}\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$c(I_A, \sigma) = |A_2| \cdot k + |A_4| \cdot k \cdots = k \sum_{i \text{ is even}} a_{ij},$$

and where J_A coinsides with J_n everywhere unless where $a_{ij} = 1$, then the $(i, j)$the position is occupied by j. We are done. ☐

7.3. Theorem . *The algebra of* $\mathfrak{spe}(V)$*-invariant polynomials is generated by the following elements*

i) (v_α, v_β) *for* $\alpha, \beta \in R_W$*;*

ii) $PPf_k(J) = \sum (-1)^{(k-1)m(L)} \varepsilon(L) m_{k-1}(L) P_t(L * J_k, J)$ *for any* t*-standard sequence* J*;*

iii) $PPf_{-k}(J) = \sum \varepsilon(L) m_0(L) P_t(L * I_{k+1}, J)$ *for any* s*-standard sequence* J*,*

where $k \geq 1$ *and sums run over* $l \in \mathcal{T}_2$*.*

Proof. is similar to that of 5.2. ☐

REFERENCES

[H] Howe R., Remarks on classical invariant theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 313, no. 2, 1989, 539–570 Erratum to: "Remarks on classical invariant theory". Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 318, no. 2, 1990, 823

[M] Macdonald I., *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1995

[S1] Sergeev A., The tensor algebra of the identity representation as a module over Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{gl}(p, q)$ and $\mathfrak{q}(n)$. Mat. Sb., 123, 3, 1984, 422–430

[S2] Sergeev A., An analog of the classical invariant theory for Lie superlagebras, Functsional. Anal. i ego Prilozh., 26, no.3, 1992, 88–90; an expanded version in: math.RT/9810113

[S3] Sergeev A., Vector and covector invariants of Lie superalgebras, Functsional. Anal. i ego Prilozh., 30, no.3, 1996, 90–93;

[W] Weyl H., *Classical groups. Their invariants and representations.* Princeton Univ. Press, 1947

DEPT. OF MATH., UNIV. OF STOCKHOLM, ROSLAGSV. 101, KRÄFTRIKET HUS 6, S-106 91, STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN (ON LEAVE OF ABSENCE FROM BALAKOVO INST. OF TECHNOLOGY TECHNIQUE AND CONTROL), E-MAIL: MLEITES@MATEMATIK.SU.SE SUBJECT: FOR SERGEEV